IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CR. REV. No.369 of 2008
BATESHWAR MAHTO…….Petitioner
Versus
1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. BHOLA MAHTO
3. BINOD MAHTO
4. SUJAN MAHTO
5. RAM CHANDRA MAHTO
……Opposite parties
---

2. 28.11.2008. This Cr. Revision has been preferred against the order of acquittal dated 4.2.2008 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court- V, Sheikhpura in Sessions Trial No. 187 of 1997.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that there are sufficient circumstantial evidences but inspite of that the court below has not convicted the opposite parties and has wrongly acquitted them.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

However, on perusal of the impugned judgment it appears that the chain of circumstances is not complete. There are several discrepancies in the prosecution story. The material exhibits have not been brought on record. In this view of the matter, this court is not inclined to interfere in the impugned order of acquittal. Accordingly, this Cr. Revision is dismissed as the admission stage itself.

kanchan **(Ghanshyam Prasad, J.)**